## CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY COMMITTEE FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

	CHECKLIST FOR RESEARCH INVOLVING PRISONERS	CPHS Use Only	
Pri	incipal Investigator (please print):	Project #  Reviewer:	
Pr	oject Title:	<b>Date:</b> Rec:	
1.	<ul> <li>Does the research fall into one of the permitted categories below?</li> <li>Study the possible causes, effects, and processes of incarceration, and criminal behavior, provided that the study presents no more than minimal risk and no more than inconvenience to the subjects?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☐</li> </ul>	To Rev: From Rev: Reviewer Concurs?	
	<ul> <li>Study prisons as institutional structures or prisoners as incarcerated persons, provided that the study presents no more than minimal risk and no more than inconvenience to the subjects?</li> </ul> Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)	□Yes □No	
	<ul> <li>Study conditions particularly affecting prisoners as a class?</li> <li>Yes □ No □</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No	
	<ul> <li>Study practices, both innovative and accepted, which have the intent and reasonable probability of improving the health and well-being of the subjects?</li> </ul> Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \)	□Yes □No	
2.	Are the possible advantages accruing to the prisoner, when compared to general prison living conditions, medical care, amenities, and opportunity for earnings, not of such a magnitude that the subject's ability to weigh the risks of research against the value of such advantages in the limited choice environment will be impaired?  Yes  No	□ Yes □ No	
3.	Are the risks involved in the research commensurate with risks that would be accepted by non-prisoner volunteers? Yes \subseteq No \subseteq	□ Yes □ No	
4.	Are the procedures for the selection of subjects within the prison fair to all prisoners and immune from arbitrary intervention by prison authorities or prisoners?  Yes \subseteq No \subseteq	□ Yes □ No	
5.	Are control subjects selected randomly from the group of available prisoners who meet the characteristics needed in the research project?  Yes \sum No \sum	□Yes □No	
6.	Is the information presented understandable to the study population?  Yes No	□Yes □No	

			CPHS Project #	Use Only		
7.	Is adequate assurance provided that parole boards will not take into account a prisoner's participation in researching in making decisions regarding parole?	t	Reviewer Concurs?			
		Yes 🗌 No 🗌	□Yes	□No		
8.	Is each prisoner clearly informed in advance that participation in the research will have no effect on his or her parole?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	□Yes	□No		
9.	Has adequate provisions been made for examination and care of participants if such may be needed after the end of their participation?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	□Yes	□No		
Pr	ncipal Investigator Signature:					
CPHS Use Only						
☐ Approved ☐ Approval Deferred Pending Revisions (Provide Comments) ☐ Not Approved						
Comments:						
Pri	mary Reviewer Signature:	Date: _				
		Checklist for Pris	oners Research	12-11-03		